

## **REMARKS**

### **Claim Rejections**

Claims 1-2 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Jenn-Rong (U.S. 5,042,277). Claims 1, 11 and 12 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Yang (U.S. 5,765,409). Claims 2-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Since the Examiner has rejected claim 2 as being anticipated by Jenn-Rong and the subject matter of claim 2 is listed in item 4 on page 2 of the outstanding Office Action, Applicant assumes that the allowable subject matter should correctly read claims 3-10, as noted in the Office Action Summary.

### **Drawings**

It is noted that the Examiner has accepted the drawings as originally filed with this application.

### **Amendments to Specification**

Applicant has amended the specification as noted above to cure obvious grammatical and idiomatic inaccuracies. It is believed that the foregoing amendments to the specification overcome the outstanding objections thereto. No "new matter" has been added to the original disclosure by the foregoing amendments to the specification.

### **Claim Amendments**

By this Amendment, Applicant has canceled claims 1, 2, 11 and 12 and has amended claims 3, 4 and 6 to obviate the objections set forth in the outstanding Office Action. It is believed that amended claims 3-10 now specifically set forth each element of Applicant's invention in full compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 112.

The Examiner has indicated that claims 3-10 would be allowed is rewritten in independent form. Applicant's amended claim 3 comprises a combination of original claims 1, 2 and 3, thus redrafting claim 3 in independent form. Amended claims 4-10 each depend from amended claim 3. In the absence of any art cited against Applicant's original claim 3, it is not believed that any detailed discussion of the cited prior art references is necessary. Suffice to say that all of the claims remaining in this patent application contain subject matter against which no prior art citations have been made.

**Summary**

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that this application is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. Should any points remain in issue, which the Examiner feels could best be resolved by either a personal or a telephone interview, it is urged that Applicant's local attorney be contacted at the exchange listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 21, 2004

By: \_\_\_\_\_



Bruce H. Troxell  
Reg. No. 26,592

TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC  
5205 Leesburg Pike, Suite 1404  
Falls Church, Virginia 22041  
Telephone: 703 575-2711  
Telefax: 703 575-2707